UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,092	07/13/2006	Jong-Kee Kim	1650-21	1157
Daniel P Burke & Associates, PLLC 300 Rabro Drive Suite 131 Hauppauge, NY 11788			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, TAJASH D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/586,092	KIM, JONG-KEE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tejash D. Patel	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access that any objection to the orange.	r election requirement. r. epted or b)⊡ objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	ammer, Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents are considered.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/586,092 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thurwanger et al. (US 5,619,754). Thurwanger et al. (hereinafter Thurwanger) discloses an inner shell including a liner member (18) opened at upper and lower parts thereof and an impact absorbing member (38) surrounding a circumference thereof, col. 5, lines 1-9. Also, a plurality of first and second holes (34) are passed through front and rear walls by attachment bsushings (36) as shown in figure 5.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,092 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thurwanger.

With regard to claim 3, it would have been obvious that absorbing material of Thurwanger can be made of foam, etc so that force of impact can be absorbed and dissipated as required for a particular end use thereof.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thurwanger in view of Sibley et al. (US 5,298,208).

Thurwanger discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing the inner shell being formed by molding process.

Sibley et al. discloses a process of forming a shell by molding as shown in figures 4-7.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that the inner shell of Thurwanger is made through a molding process as taught by Sibley in order to make the device cost effective and integral as a single unit for maximum protection.

.

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

May 4, 2009

/Tejash Patel/ Primary Examiner